

Minutes of the Hurricane City Council meeting held on June 4, 2026, in the Council Chambers at 147 North 870 West, Hurricane, Utah at 3:30 p.m.

Members Present: Mayor Clark Fawcett and **Council Members:** Drew Ellerman, Joseph Prete, Dave Imlay, Lynn Excell, and Amy Werrett.

Also Present: City Manager Kaden DeMille, City Attorney Dayton Hall, Police Chief Kurt Yates, Public Works Director Mike Vercimak, Assistant Public Works Director Weston Walker, Streets Superintendent Hayden Roberts, Water Superintendent Kory Wright, Water Superintendent Austin Kimber, Assistant Planner Fred Resch III, Power Director Mike Johns, Recreation Director Tiffani Wright, City Engineer Arthur LeBaron, Finance Manager Paige Chapman, Parks Superintendent Darren Barney, HR Director Sel Lovell, and City Recorder Cindy Beteag.

AGENDA

3:30 p.m. Budget Work Meeting

Paige Chapman presented the Hurricane City FY2027 Bubble List and reviewed each budget request submitted by department heads. She explained that the list included recommendations from her and Kaden DeMille regarding the amount to approve for each request. Mrs. Chapman reviewed each request with the Council, who discussed the proposed amounts and provided direction on which items should be approved, reduced, or removed from the budget.

5:00 p.m. Pre-meeting - Discussion of Agenda Items, Department Reports

6:00 p.m. - Call to Order –

Mayor Fawcett welcomed everyone and called the meeting to order.

Prayer: Councilman Prete

Pledge: Mayor Fawcett

Declaration of any conflicts of interest

None declared.

Minutes of the Regular City Council Meeting for May 7, 2026, and May 21, 2026

Lynn Excell motioned to approve the May 7, 2026, and May 21, 2026, minutes as written. Seconded by Amy Werrett. Motion carried unanimously.

Public Forum – Comments From Public

All public comments were related to New Business Item No. 6 and are recorded in the minutes under that item.

OLD BUSINESS

1. Consideration and possible approval of Ordinance 2026-10 Amending Title 10, Chapter 36 regarding sign regulations; File No. LUCA26-02; Hurricane City

Planning, applicant - Gary Cupp

Fred Resch III explained the ordinance was continued from the previous meeting and remains largely unchanged, with revisions primarily related to electronic signs. The proposed ordinance would limit electronic signs to 100 square feet, with a maximum of 200 square feet for properties with additional frontage. He noted that most electronic signs installed in recent years have been approximately 100 square feet. Councilman Ellerman expressed support for the auto-dimming requirement. Discussion followed regarding whether larger electronic signs should be permitted. Mr. Resch III stated that property owners could request larger signs through a development agreement if an economic benefit could be demonstrated. Councilman Ellerman cautioned against this approach due to potential legal challenges. Councilman Prete supported including an economic benefit provision. Dayton Hall noted that measurable criteria would be needed to evaluate such requests. Councilwoman Werrett suggested allowing larger electronic signs through a conditional use permit with specific conditions. After further discussion, Councilman Prete stated he preferred a 100-square-foot cap with no exceptions for larger electronic signs. Councilmen Ellerman and Excell disagreed.

Dave Imlay motioned to approve Ordinance 2026-10 amending Title 10, Chapter 36, regarding sign regulations, with a maximum size of 100 square feet for electronic signs and the removal of the provision allowing larger signs based on frontage. Seconded by Joseph Prete. Motion carried with Dave Imlay, Joseph Prete, and Amy Werrett voting aye. Drew Ellerman and Lynn Excell voted nay.

2. Consideration and possible approval of Ordinance 2026-11 amending Title 10, Chapters 7 and 37 regarding conditional uses and height exceptions for flag poles; File No. LUCA26-03; Hurricane City Planning Department, applicant -Gary Cupp

Fred Resch III explained that this chapter is tied to the sign ordinance and was continued pending adoption of that ordinance. Councilman Prete confirmed that the maximum flagpole height in residential zones is based on the maximum building height allowed within the zone, rather than the height of the residence. Dayton Hall noted that the proposed ordinance allows flagpoles up to 100 feet in non-residential zones if certain conditions are met and noted previous concerns regarding that height allowance. Councilman Prete asked about the maximum building height permitted in any zone. Mr. Resch III responded that industrial zones allow heights up to 60 feet. Councilman Prete stated he would support allowing flagpoles to exceed the zone height limit by 10 to 15 feet.

Joseph Prete motioned to approve Ordinance 2026-11 amending Title 10, Chapters 7 and 37, regarding conditional uses and height exceptions for flagpoles, with a revision establishing the nonresidential height cap as the lesser of 15 feet above the maximum permitted building height or 75 feet. Seconded by Drew Ellerman. Motion carried unanimously by a roll call vote.

NEW BUSINESS

1. Consideration and possible approval of Resolution 2026-22 designating City-owned or controlled properties where political and campaign signs may be displayed during election periods - Gary Cupp

Fred Resch III explained that the sign ordinance requires the Council to adopt a resolution designating locations where election signs may be placed. He presented the proposed locations and corresponding photographs. Cindy Beteag asked whether written permission had been obtained from UDOT for signs on its property. Mr. Resch III stated the proposed resolution only includes City-owned property, and any UDOT properties would be added later if permission is obtained. Dayton Hall explained that staff selected locations throughout the community to provide broad access. Councilwoman Werrett asked whether additional locations along 600 North could be included. Mr. Resch III said that the adjacent fence along 600 North is on BLM property and would not be eligible. Councilman Excell asked about enforcement of existing election signs currently in place. Mr. Resch III stated he intends to allow the current election cycle to proceed without enforcement but plans to enforce the regulations during future elections.

Council members discussed the proposed locations for election signs. Councilman Ellerman stated he supported all proposed locations except Dixie Springs Park. Councilman Prete expressed concern that the industrial area location was too remote and would see little use, adding that there should be a designated location in each area of the City. Mr. Hall noted that the industrial location could still be beneficial for county-wide elections. Councilman Ellerman noted the importance of obtaining permission from UDOT for more visible locations. Councilman Imlay asked whether permission could be obtained for property along Sand Hollow Road by Ash Creek Sewer District. Weston Walker explained that private property separates the road from Ash Creek's property. Mayor Fawcett suggested obtaining written permission from private property owners in various areas of the City to create additional designated sign locations. Mr. Hall explained that election signs are permitted on private property with the owner's permission and may also be placed in park strips with permission from the adjoining property owner. He further explained that election signs are currently prohibited within City rights-of-way, although that regulation has not been actively enforced for many years. The recently adopted sign ordinance did not change the prohibition but clarified the language, while the proposed resolution would provide designated locations on City-owned property. He noted that the Council could choose not to allow election signs on City property, provided the regulations remain content-neutral.

Councilwoman Werrett stated she supported allowing election signs on City property but would like to see additional locations considered. She suggested waiting to hear back from UDOT before taking action. Mayor Fawcett agreed that additional time was available to explore options since the regulations would not be enforced during the current election cycle. Councilman Excell stated that UDOT had indicated it would not remove signs unless they were

placed on overpass structures. He suggested approving the resolution and adding additional locations in the future if needed.

Drew Ellerman motioned to approve Resolution 2026-22 designating City-owned or controlled properties where political and campaign signs may be displayed during election periods with all listed areas except for Dixie Springs Park. Seconded by Lynn Excell. Motion carried unanimously by roll call vote.

2. Consideration and possible approval of Chapters 6-8 of the Hurricane City Employee Policy - Sel Lovell

Sel Lovell explained that these are the final chapters requiring approval to complete the employee policy manual. Councilman Excell confirmed that the policies are hosted through Lexipol. Mr. Lovell noted that City Attorney Dayton Hall has reviewed the policies. Councilman Excell expressed support for the program, stating that Lexipol monitors changes in state law and updates policies accordingly.

Amy Werrett motioned to approve Chapters 6-8 of the Hurricane City Employee Policy. Seconded by Lynn Excell. Motion carried unanimously.

3. Consideration and possible approval of amending Chapter 1 of the Hurricane City Employee Policy - Sel Lovell

Sel Lovell explained that this section authorizes the City Manager to approve future amendments to the employee policy manual. Mr. Hall added that the provision allows the City Manager to update policies in response to changes in case law. Councilman Prete asked whether the draft included a requirement to report policy changes to the Council. Mr. Hall stated it did not. Councilman Prete expressed support for requiring notification of substantial changes. Councilman Imlay suggested providing updates through a staff report during the premeeting. Mr. Hall stated that employees are notified of policy changes and that notifying the Council would be a simple addition.

Dave Imlay motioned to approve amending Chapter 1 of the Hurricane City Employee Policy. Seconded by Drew Ellerman. Motion carried unanimously.

4. Consideration and possible approval of Zone Change Ordinance No. ZC26-07 to rezone a property located at 950 W 2060 S from Residential Agricultural (RA-0.5) to Light Industrial (M-1); File No. ZC26-07; Parcel No. H-3-2-10-3401; Scott Stratton, applicant; Karl Rasmussen, agent

Karl Rasmussen explained that the surrounding property owners are seeking light industrial zoning similar to a previously approved zone change in the area. Councilman Excell noted that the Planning Commission recommended applying the same development agreement conditions used for the prior approval. Councilman Prete confirmed that the applicant was requesting the option for a gas station on all three parcels. Councilman Ellerman stated he would support

allowing a gas station on the adjoining property but not on the subject parcel. Mr. Hall asked for direction regarding the development agreement, noting that it would differ slightly from the previous agreement due to road improvement and fencing requirements. He asked whether the Council preferred to review a draft agreement before approval or provide direction and allow staff to prepare and finalize the agreement. Councilman Ellerman recommended maintaining the same fencing requirements as the previous development agreement, requiring fencing along the east and south property lines and including the same exception for the west side. He also recommended prohibiting a gas station on the subject property while keeping the remaining provisions of the prior agreement unchanged.

Lynn Excell motioned to approve Zone Change Ordinance No. ZC26-07 to rezone property located at 950 W 2060 S from Residential Agricultural (RA-0.5) to Light Industrial (M-1), File No. ZC26-07, Parcel No. H-3-2-10-3401, subject to a development agreement containing the same provisions approved for parcel H-3-2-10-3391, except that a gas station shall not be permitted. The agreement shall also require the same fencing standards along the east and south property lines, with the west property line subject to the same exception included in the previous development agreement. Seconded by Amy Werrett. Motion carried with Drew Ellerman, Dave Imlay, Lynn Excell, and Amy Werrett voting aye. Joseph Prete voted nay.

5. Consideration and possible approval of Zone Change Ordinance No. ZC26-08 to rezone a property located at 990 W 2060 S from Residential Agricultural (RA-0.5) to Light Industrial (M-1); File No. ZC26-08; Parcel Nos. H-3-2-10-3511, H-3-2-10-3501, H-3-2-10-3491; Sunland Properties and Kent Clayton, applicant; Karl Rasmussen, agent

Karl Rasmussen explained that this request is similar to the previous zone change application. Councilman Excell noted that the development agreement should include provisions requiring improvements to both 2050 South and 1100 West. Mr. Hall confirmed that the agreement would mirror the previously approved development agreement and would be modified to include both road improvement requirements. Councilman Excell added that a gas station use could be permitted on this property.

Lynn Excell motioned to approve the Zone Change Ordinance No. ZC26-08 to rezone a property located at 990 W 2060 S from Residential Agricultural (RA-0.5) to Light Industrial (M-1); File No. ZC26-08; Parcel Nos. H-3-2-10-3511, H-3-2-10-3501, H-3-2-10-3491 subject to a development agreement containing the same provisions approved for parcel H-3-2-10-3391 including allowing a gas station. Seconded by Amy Werrett. Motion carried with Drew Ellerman, Dave Imlay, Lynn Excell, and Amy Werrett voting aye. Joseph Prete voted nay.

6. Consideration and possible approval of Zone Change Ordinance No. ZC26-09 to rezone a property located at 437 W 400 S from Single Family Residential (R1-10) to Multiple Family Residential (RM-1); File No. ZC26-09; Parcel No. H-231-L; Interstate Homes, applicant and agent

Mayor Fawcett noted concerns raised by citizens that public comments made at the Planning Commission meeting were not included in the Council packet. Councilman Excell stated that

concerns were expressed at the Planning Commission due to the property's proximity to single-family residential areas, and noted that the Commission recommended denial of the request. Mayor Fawcett added that, in addition to Planning Commission comments, written opposition letters from Kelsey Phelps and Helayna Wheeler were received and included in the Council packet.

Kelsey Phelps stated they moved to Hurricane and chose to settle in the community, noting the area's historical value. He referenced the General Plan and its intent to preserve neighborhoods, acknowledging ongoing pressure for changes. He noted support for maintaining the residential character of the area and stated the neighborhood represents residents' homes and community investment. He requested the Council preserve the residential feel of the area.

Ty Johnson stated they have seen a lot of growth in the City and he does not want to see a duplex built across from his house or in the main part of downtown. He noted they have spoken a lot about safety and that people drive too fast in the area. He referenced a fatality near the lot last year and stated there are many children in the area. He also expressed concern about parking, stating the lot is very small and he does not see how a duplex would fit. He asked the Council to deny the request, stating the area consists of single-family homes and they want to keep it that way.

Theresa Jessop stated she never wants to see an accident in this area again. The traffic in this area is bad. She would like to see the weeds cleaned up.

Debra Phelps stated she has lived near the area for over twenty years and directly backs up to the lot in question. She stated they knew the property was zoned for one single-family home and noted there has been significant growth in the community. She expressed concern that traffic on 400 South is very dangerous and referenced a prior fatality at the corner, adding that visibility is difficult at the intersection. She stated she is requesting no change to the zoning and questioned why a multifamily home would be built on such a small lot. She acknowledged the city's affordable housing concerns but stated the project would not address the issue. She further stated she is retired and on a fixed income and has seen her taxes and utilities more than double. She asked the Council to deny the request and to consider and represent the concerns of residents.

Danny Blake stated he lives near the property and that the road is very dangerous. He referenced a comment made at the Planning Commission regarding duplexes down the road and why this property could not be similar. He noted that the other property abuts the wash and only impacts one adjacent lot, whereas this property would affect five neighboring properties. He stated his opposition to the proposed zone change.

Tonna Abbott stated she agreed with all previous comments. She expressed concern that the proposed development would increase traffic in the area and noted that visibility is limited on the corner. She stated that placing too many houses on a small lot would create challenges for surrounding neighbors. She stated a single-family home is a better fit for the area, noting it is

located within a single-family zoned neighborhood. She stated multifamily zoning would not be an appropriate fit for the area.

Paulette Johnson stated she agreed with the safety and parking concerns previously raised. She referenced a comment made by David Isom regarding another property across the street that is expected to be condemned, noting concern that approval of this request could lead to similar future requests. She stated she would prefer to see a single-family home on the property and asked the Council to consider the residents who would be affected in the area.

Chase Stratton showed a duplex that they would like to do on the lot. He explained they asked for RM-2 so they could do a duplex based on the acreage, but he doesn't need that high of density if a duplex is allowed. He reviewed the four criteria to consider for a zone change. He pointed out that the General Plan and Moderate-Income Housing Initiative calls out the missing middle housing in existing neighborhoods and having infill in the aging part of town. He stated a community means all families of all shapes. On a different property, he is asking for a similar development behind where he lives. He started his adult life in a duplex and feels like he was a good neighbor. If you are trying to build a community this is harmonious with that. This is only one duplex infilling an area.

He stated the next criteria is: will it affect surrounding properties. He stated this would be less impact for parking because a single-family home could do a detached ADU and rent it out short term. It would have a dedicated driveway and garage for both units. The traffic that would be added with one extra unit will not add that much of an impact on that road. He feels there are more calming things that can be done for the traffic. He agrees the traffic safety needs to be addressed but it is bigger than one duplex. This is meant for a family that can afford a duplex. It is walking distance to commercial, schools and parks and all the amenities are currently downtown. He added that each of these units would have a big back yard for kids to play. In closing he stated there are adequate utilities to this lot.

Councilwoman Werrett stated the Planning Commission recommended denial of the request by a 6–2 vote. Councilman Excell stated the Planning Commissioners expressed concerns similar to those raised by residents, but noted they discussed the option of a single-family home with an ADU that could be rented separately, and indicated they preferred that option because the owner would likely reside in the primary dwelling. Councilman Prete stated there is value in increased density near schools but that this location may not be appropriate. He stated he would not support the zone change request. Mayor Fawcett asked what the expected rental price range would be for the units. Mr. Stratton responded approximately \$1,500–\$1,600 per month and stated that increased supply provides affordability. Mayor Fawcett stated that this price point does not meet affordable housing levels and added that a duplex in a single-family zone does not fit the area.

Mr. Stratton stated he has brought in many different types of applications and all of them have been denied. He is looking for guidance on what the Council is looking for. He pointed out that even under R1-4 zoning, two homes could be allowed, but at a higher cost, and stated he is

trying to understand how and where affordable housing is intended to be implemented, noting he has proposed several options.

Councilman Prete stated he would be open to the proposal in a different location on a safer, wider street. Councilman Ellerman stated the applicant had made valid points but that a duplex does not fit on this lot and expressed concern about spot zoning. Mr. Stratton responded that families historically subdivided large lots for family use and suggested that spot zoning is how communities originally developed. Councilwoman Werrett stated there have been good points made by the applicant and the residents. She would prefer a duplex over apartments, but this is surrounded by single family homes. She doesn't think it fits here but agrees affordable housing is needed.

Lynn Excell motioned to deny Zone Change Ordinance No. ZC26-09 to rezone a property located at 437 W 400 S from Single Family Residential (R1-10) to Multiple Family Residential (RM-1); File No. ZC26-09; Parcel No. H-231-L based on the findings that it is not compatible with the surrounding zoning, is inconsistent with the City's General Plan, and staff and the Planning Commission recommended denial. Seconded by Dave Imlay. Motion carried unanimously by a roll call vote.

7. Consideration and possible approval of Ordinance 2026-14 amending Title 10, Chapter 51 business license renewal and parking standards for whole-home vacation rentals and residential hosting facilities; File No. LUCA26-06; Hurricane City Planning Department, applicant -Gary Cupp

Fred Resch III explained the proposed changes are for two separate items, one of which is business license renewal for whole home rentals. He stated whole home rentals were updated in 2023 by not accepting new applications in the hopes that they would go away over time by not renewing, selling, or getting too many violations. It has been suggested by the Council in the past that this was too harsh for someone that fails to renew their license, so staff put together a process that an owner can do if that happens. He went over the proposed changes. He pointed out this is not adding more licenses. It would only apply to existing licenses. The second proposed change is to address the concern that the blanket ban on street parking is considered too harsh, so staff is proposing to allow on-street parking. He stated off-street parking is still required at one space per bedroom, and no trailers or ATVs would be allowed. He stated the Planning Commission recommended only allowing on-street parking in front of the house being rented, not anywhere else.

Mayor Fawcett referenced a prior vacation rental appeal in November related to similar issues and noted staff concerns that the Council did not support enforcement actions at that time. He stated he understood the Council's concerns regarding strict penalties and that the goal is to establish a policy the Council will support. Mr. Resch III added that the parking change was proposed because neighbors have been using on-street parking as a basis to report violations whenever vehicles are parked on the street. Councilman Ellerman stated he does not think the proposed fine is too harsh and clarified that if it happens twice there is no option to pay a fine again, so the license would be revoked on the second violation. He stated parking on the street

is difficult to enforce and questioned who would police it. Councilman Excell stated parking is allowed on public streets for 48 hours and questioned how enforcement would work if vacation rentals are treated differently. Councilman Imlay pointed out it is a business in a residential area and therefore a condition of the license. Chief Yates stated police are called on these issues frequently and residents expect enforcement, but it becomes difficult to regulate because neighbors also have visitors parking on the street. He stated it is not typically a concern unless vehicles block access for neighbors, and noted it becomes complicated to allow parking in some cases but not others. He added that it is difficult for officers to enforce restrictions on public streets. Mayor Fawcett stated he does not want police to be responsible for enforcing the issue. Councilman Prete stated penalties should be balanced with the severity of the offense and that a parking plan should be required to demonstrate adequate capacity. He stated property owners should act in good faith and have a plan in place, while the City should also act in good faith with proportional penalties. He stated he believes losing a license after two violations is too harsh and suggested it may be more appropriate if violations occur consecutively rather than over time. Councilman Excell disagreed and stated property owners need to properly manage their licenses. Councilman Imlay stated he is okay with the current language but would also support eliminating vacation rentals entirely. Mayor Fawcett suggested adding a maximum penalty to provide flexibility and ensure Council support. He doesn't want it too strict, but he also wants them to know it is important and they take care of it. He wants to see it written so no matter what happens the Council supports it. Councilman Prete stated one of his frustrations is allowing residential hosting in most zones and the parties in resort areas. He thinks whole home family rentals are being the scape goat for all the problems. Mayor Fawcett disagreed and stated whole house rentals are all that he has heard complaints about. He thinks there are too many residential hosting licenses and they should be limited. Chief Yates stated they get the most complaints from whole home rentals in residential zones. Councilman Excell stated the Planning Commission vetted this for a long time and in the end, they unanimously recommended to approve it. Councilwoman Werrett asked if the parking changes made it better or worse for the police. Chief Yates stated it is hard to enforce if it isn't State or City law. They can't give them a ticket because on street parking is allowed for someone that is living there and they just have guests over.

Lynn Excell motioned to approve Ordinance 2026-14 amending Title 10, Chapter 51, regarding business license renewal and parking standards for whole-home vacation rentals and residential hosting facilities. Dayton Hall requested clarification on the parking standards, noting that the Planning Commission had made a different recommendation than the proposal before the Council. Councilman Excell clarified that on-street parking would be permitted only directly in front of the vacation rental property. Seconded by Dave Imlay. Joseph Prete declared a conflict because he owns a vacation rental and stated he will not be voting. Motion carried with Dave Imlay, Lynn Excell and Amy Werrett voting aye. Drew Ellerman voted nay. Joseph Prete abstained.

Dayton Hall stated there have been several good points raised regarding the issues residential hosting can create. He noted it has been brought up multiple times that there are too many. He

stated residents are adding these licenses on a regular basis and asked if the Council would like staff to bring back options to limit them further. The Council was in favor of reviewing the issue further, noting the need for long-term rental housing options. Councilman Prete stated they need to be thoughtful in how any phase-out would be handled and noted that some homes were built with casitas for this purpose. Mr. Hall clarified he was not proposing revocation of existing licenses, only limiting future ones. Councilman Prete stated he does not want to see them allowed in smaller zoning districts.

8. Mayor, Council, and staff reports

Mayor Fawcett stated he would like to hold a meeting at the Fine Arts Building to discuss the proposed drainage fees and asked the Council to select a date. He requested placing the impact fee and public hearing on the next agenda but then hold a separate meeting to discuss the monthly drainage fee. He stated the Council wants to be able to clearly communicate to the public where the funds will be used. Weston Walker noted the funds will also support MS4 requirements and stated the fund must be built before projects can move forward. He added that some projects are already in design and will be completed soon. Councilman Excell suggested showing the public the planned projects and MS4 requirements. Mayor Fawcett stated he would prefer to bond for the projects in order to begin work within a year. The Council agreed to place all items on the June 18th agenda if the Fine Arts Building is available.

Fred Resch III stated the Planning Commission approved site plan for an office building behind Sleep Inn and a final site plan for some storage units on Turf Sod Road. There was a zone change that was recommended but the applicant requested it to be on the next agenda.

Arthur LeBaron stated they have talked to all property owners on the 100 South and 200 West projects. They are finalizing the plan and will advertise as soon as possible. He stated Ash Creek Sewer District is rebuilding a sewer line on 200 South. He mentioned UDOT has installed some LiDAR devices on 300 West and 700 West to help with pedestrian safety. He is working on a grant to put those devices at every crossing on State Street.

Weston Walker stated that on June 30th at 9:30 a.m. there will be contractor training for the MS4 Coalition held at St. George City Hall.

Hayden Roberts stated that, during construction on 700 West, all utilities have unfortunately been impacted except gas and power. He stated the road will be opened from 400 S to the church starting tomorrow but will return to a hard closure again on Monday.

Mike Johns reported that the generators started running today. They just finished the calculations for the new PCA rate and customers will see it on their July bill. We are currently in the negative PCA, and he expects it stay for way for a while.

Mike Vercimak stated the State is requesting a 20% reduction in water usage. He stated the Parks Department has been evaluating ways to reduce usage and will also reach out to the school districts and churches to request similar conservation efforts. He noted there is no immediate relief in sight and that water conservation will remain a concern. He stated staff will

prepare an MS4 budget to show the public projected annual costs and how funds will be allocated to projects. He explained that once the impact fee is adopted, the City will be able to issue impact fee vouchers for developers who complete approved drainage improvements. He also stated that the Gould's Wash Well and Sky Ranch Well are currently still being drilled.

Chief Yates stated Officer Johnson participated in an ICAD operation this week. He stated a suspect believed they were chatting with a 12-year-old girl and made plans to meet her but he was arrested upon realizing it was actually Officer Johnson at the meeting location. Officers also conducted a traffic stop where an individual admitted to using drugs in the home, which led to a search warrant. He stated officers located approximately 43 grams of methamphetamine and fentanyl. He stated that children were living in the home along with an unregistered sex offender. He announced that tomorrow is National Donut Day at 8:00 a.m. and invited everyone to attend.

Sel Lovell stated tomorrow is the cornhole final and luncheon, and Council is invited. He stated they have been interviewing this week for the utility clerk and finance manager positions.

Cindy Beteag stated the Council packets will be transitioning to OneDrive sooner rather than later and requested feedback. Councilman Excell and Mayor Fawcett stated they prefer CivicClerk. Mrs. Beteag stated funding would need to be included in the budget if the Council wishes to retain CivicClerk. Sel Lovell asked the Council to try OneDrive before the next meeting to determine if it will work effectively.

Councilman Ellerman stated the Ash Creek Sewer District held a public hearing last week regarding construction of a pump station in Virgin, which had high attendance.

9. Closed Meeting held pursuant to Utah Code section 52-4-205, upon request

A closed meeting was not held.

Adjournment: Joseph Prete motioned to adjourn at 8:50 p.m. Seconded by Dave Imlay. Motion carried unanimously.